## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
_	10/692,501	10/24/2003	Michael Shappell	224558	9299	
	45373 7590 03/06/2007 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP (MICROSOFT)			EXAMINER		
	233 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	LLF (MICKOSOI I)	LIN, SHEW FEN		
	6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2166			
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/692,501	SHAPPELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Shew-Fen Lin	2166	

	Shew-Fen Lin	2166				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS	hut wing to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001150			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading to the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (see Note below).</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al  non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	·					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5-10</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants amended claims 1, 5, and 9 with the additional new limitations: "determining if rules permit the first user to execute the file system operation with respect to the file object; if the rule permit, ". The scope of the invention has been changed.

Since the persecution of this Application is currently at post-final-action stage, the amendment will not be entered as it necessitates a new search and claim analysis to determined the patentability of the amended claims.

HOSAIN ALAM